ME729 Advanced Robotics -PID and Linear Control 4/02/2018

Sangsin Park, Ph.D.

PID controller

- Proportional–Integral–Derivative controller.
- $D(s) = k_P + \frac{k_I}{s} + k_D s$



- The PID controller is broadly applicable, since it relies only on the response of the measured process variable, not on knowledge or a model of the underlying process.
- The PID gain tuning is a difficult problem.
- There are several methods for tuning the gains: manual tuning, Ziegler-Nichols method, etc.

□ PID controller - Integrator antiwindup



- Suppose a given reference step is more than large enough to cause the actuator to saturate at u_{max} .
- The integrator continues integrating the error e, and the signal u_c keeps growing.
- However, the input to the plant is stuck at its maximum value, namely $u = u_{max}$, so the error remains large until the plant output exceeds the reference and the error changes sign.
- The solution to this problem is an integrator antiwindup, which "turns off" the integral action when the actuator saturates.



 In this scheme, as soon as the actuator saturates, the feedback loop around the integrator becomes active and acts to keep the input to the integrator at e₁ small.

Pole-placement method

• To place the closed-loop poles of a plant in pre-determined locations (desired poles) in the s-plane.



• If the closed-loop dynamics can be represented by the state space equation with output equation,

 $\dot{X} = AX + BU, \qquad Y = CX$

then the poles of the system transfer function are the roots of the characteristic equation given by

$$\det(sI - A) = 0$$

Consider an input proportional to the state vector,

$$U = -KX$$

Substituting into the state space equations above,

$$\dot{X} = (A - BK)X$$

Therefore, the poles of the full state feedback system are given by det[sI - (A - BK)] = 0.

• Determine feedback gain, *K*, through comparing the poles of the full state feedback system with desired poles.

□ Pole-placement method – continued

• For example, there is a control system given by the following state space equations

$$\dot{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} X + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} U$$

Desire poles: s = −1, and s = −5
→ The desired character equation is (s + 1)(s + 5) = s² + 6s + 5 = 0.

• Let
$$K = [k_1 k_2]$$
,
 $|sI - (A - BK)| = det \begin{bmatrix} s & -1 \\ 2 + k_1 & s + 3 + k_2 \end{bmatrix} = s^2 + (3 + k_2)s + (2 + k_1)$

• Comparing two equations,

$$s^{2} + 6s + 5 = s^{2} + (3 + k_{2})s + (2 + k_{1})$$

• Therefore, $k_1 = 3$, and $k_2 = 3$.

□ Inverted pendulum model (IPM)

• For IPM, the kinetic and potential energies are

$$K = 1/2 mL^2 \dot{\theta}^2$$
$$P = mgL \cos \theta$$

The Lagrangian

$$L = K + P = 1/2 \, mL^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + mgL \cos \theta$$

• Lagrangian's equation

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = mL^2 \dot{\theta}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = mL^2 \ddot{\theta}$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = -mgL \sin \theta$$
$$\therefore \tau = mL^2 \ddot{\theta} - mgL \sin \theta$$

 θ



□ Inverted pendulum model (IPM) – continued

• Linearization:

Assume that θ is small. Then, $\sin \theta \approx \theta$ and $\cos \theta \approx 1$. After linearizing Lagrangian's equation, $\therefore \tau = mL^2\ddot{\theta} - mgL\theta$



$$\ddot{\theta} = \frac{g}{L}\theta + \frac{1}{mL^2}\tau$$

Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{g}{L} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \frac{mL^2}{} \end{bmatrix} \tau$$

Set state as $X = \begin{bmatrix} \theta & \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}^T$, input u as the joint torque τ ,
 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{g}{L} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{mL^2} \end{bmatrix}$, and $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.



- □ Inverted pendulum model (IPM) continued
 - PID controller: D(s)

۲

